



Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

		Washington, D.C. 20231		
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
34L, 319	2/5/82	Horst Mever	BAYER 3611.1	

Sprung, Horn, Kramer & Woods 600 Third Ave. New York, NY 10016

EXAMINER RIEDMan PAPER NUMBER

		12	5 MAII FD	$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$
		DATE MA		
EXAMINER IN	TERVIEW SUMMARY	Y RECORD	OCT 14 1982	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personn	nel):		2 5 1002	-
J. Kolodny	(3)		Coons 199	
(2)	(4)		***************************************	
Date of Interview 10-6-82		,		
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applied	cant applicant's repre	sentative).		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No.	If yes, brief description:			
Agreement	ims in question.	not reached.		
La was reducted with respect to some of all of the cital	mis in question. — vvas	not reached.		
Claims discussed:		·		
dentification of prior art discussed:				
·				
				
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agree	ment was reached, or any	other comments:		
r- lotan and	to ladon) eeso		
- and depres	1 200 DI	AO U	- <u> </u>	
claims -	- Lux V	the war	ر 	
nestral o	Deslinet	from (alonale,	
delation	- try w	enoly	L bourin	1 data
A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendmen attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would re	J ts, if available, which the ender the claims allowable	examiner agreed wou is available, a summar	lld render the claims a y thereof must be atta	allowable must be ched.)
Jnless the paragraphs below have been checked to indicate to th NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF T ast Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given on	HE INTERVIEW (e.g., ite	ems $1-7$ on the rever	se side of this form). If	f a response to the
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record	d of the substance of the ir	nterview.		
☐ Since the examiner's interview summary above (including requirements that may be present in the last Office action response requirements of the last Office action.	any attachments) reflects , and since the claims are r	a complete response row allowable, this co	to each of the objection mpleted form is consider	ons, rejections and dered to fulfill the
	_	Examiner Signatura	Y J. Priedman	
31-3679 PTOL-413 (rev. 1-81)		Till by the second seco	ary Examiner	

Group Art Unit 125